

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,877	EROZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry Vartanian	2634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Harry Vartanian.

(3) _____.

 (2) Phouphanomketh Ditthavong(Reg# 44658) .

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 April 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 4, 11, 13, 19, and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed potential double patenting issues with Claim 1 in 10/613/877 and Claim 17 in application 10/930298. Corrected antecedent basis issues.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)